



RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 28 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Examiner Dennis W. Ruhl

FROM: James R. Stevenson

COMPANY:
USPTO Group Art Unit 3629

DATE:
MARCH 28, 2005

FAX NUMBER:
703-872-9306

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
20

PHONE NUMBER:

SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:
VI/02-022

RE: Restriction Response

YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:
Serial Number 10/729,434

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

*** PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT VIA RETURN FACSIMILE ***

The documents transmitted by this facsimile message may contain confidential and/or privileged information, which is intended only for the use of the addressee named above. If you are not such addressee, any disclosure, photocopying, distribution, or use of such documents or information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile message in error, please immediately notify us by telephone so that we can arrange to retrieve such documents. Thank you for your kind cooperation!

One Medrad Drive
Indianola, PA 15051-0780
United States of America

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)

Applicant(s): KALAFUT et al.

Docket No.

VI/02-022

Serial No.
10/729,434Filing Date
12/6/2003Examiner
Dennis W. RuhlGroup Art Unit
3629

Invention:

DEVICES, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR IMPROVING VESSEL ACCESS

I hereby certify that this Response to Restriction Requirement and related pages
(Identify type of correspondence)is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. (703) 872-9306on March 28, 2005
(Date)

James R. Stevenson

(Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)

(Signature)

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 28 2005

In re Application of:) Group Art Unit: 3629
KALAFUT et al.)
Serial No. 10/729,434) Examiner: Ruhl, Dennis W.
Filed: 6 December 2003) Confirm. No. 8371
) Docket No.: VI/02-022
)
)
Title: DEVICES, SYSTEMS AND)
METHODS FOR IMPROVING)
VESSEL ACCESS) Date: 28 March 2005

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In an Office Action mailed 28 February 2005, the Examiner alleges that the application contains claims directed to two inventions. Specifically, on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner stated that:

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35. U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-67, drawn to a surgical apparatus, classified in class 600 subclass 407.
 - II. Claims 68-72 drawn to a method of accessing a vasculature, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different method than recited in claim 68, such as for the setting of a broken bone of a patient or the cleaning of a wound.

Applicants herein respond to the requirement for restriction.

Response To Restriction Requirement
U.S. Application Serial No. 10/724,434
Attorney Docket No. VI/02-022
Page 2 of 18

I. Formal Response to the Restriction Requirement

Formally responding to the restriction requirement, Applicants choose to restrict the application to the Group I claims with traverse for the reasons specified in the Remarks section below. In other words, Applicants elect to prosecute claims 1-67, with claims 1 and 33 being the independent claims in the group. Claims 68-72 are thus hereby withdrawn provisionally, with the understanding that they will be reinstated should it be determined that the relevant regulations require rescission of the restriction requirement.

The claims are reprinted below for the Examiner's convenience.
(The claims are set forth below in the manner required by 37 C.F.R. §1.121, as amended July 30, 2003.)